1	Steven J. Parsons Nevada Bar No. 363 Law Offices of Steven J. Parsons		
_	10091 Park Run Dr Ste 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145-8868		
3	(702) 384-9900		
4	(702) 384-5900 (fax) Steve@SJPlawyer.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, dba UNIVERSAL	Case No. 2:15-cv-869-RFB-(VCF)	
10	LAUNDRY and SUPPLY,	STATUS REPORT; STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN	
11	Plaintiff,	and PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER	
12	VS.	(in response to Order (Doc. No. 60)	
13	FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Plaintiff ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LL	C, by its attorneys, Steven J. Parsons of Law	
17	OFFICE OF STEVEN J. PARSONS, and Joseph N. Mott and Scott Lundy of REMPFER MOTT LUNDY,		
18	PLLC <sup>1</sup> ; Defendant <b>FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY</b> , by its attorneys, James P.C. Silvestri and		
19	Brian Goldman of PYATT SILVESTRI, and Defendant NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE		
20	COMPANY, by its attorneys, Christine Emanuelson and Whitney Wilcher of HINES HAMPTON, LLP,		
21	hereby submit this Status Report and Stipulate	ed Discovery Plan and Proposed Scheduling	
22	Order pursuant to the Court's Order of Decem	ber 21, 2017, regarding the parties' Status	
23	Check (Doc. No. 60).		
24			
25			
26	10n December 45, 0047, attains to lea	and N. Matt and Coatt Lunduisined a moute	
27	formed law firm REMPEER MOTT LUNDY PLIC RO	seph N. Mott and Scott Lundy joined a newly	



## STATUS REPORT

The parties have met, conferred and agree that at present, the following discovery remains outstanding:

### 4 Depositions:

- 5 (1) ABC's principals and employees, Ran Brisman, Avi Cohen, and Jim Smail, as well
- 6 as Fed. R. Civ. P., 30(b)(6) witnesses;
- 7 (2) ABC's former principals and employees, Moshe Levy, Yaakov "Kobi" Levy, and
- 8 Yuda Levy;

- 9 (3) Rudi Moors, Christeyns' CEO (to be completed);
- (4) Claims persons of each Defendant's claims operation, as well as Fed. R. Civ.
- 11 P., 30(b)(6) witnesses of each Defendant;
- 12 (5) Rimkus Consulting Group, Inc.;
- 13 (6) Textile Solutions, LLC;
- 14 (7) Wynn Las Vegas, LLC;
- 15 (8) Brown & Brown Northwest;
- (9) CHEM-BAC Laboratories, Inc;
- 17 (10) CR Systems Consulting;
- 18 (11) Frank Campagna, CPA; and
- 19 (11) The various experts as designated by the parties.
- 20 Written Discovery:
- The parties anticipate additional written discovery will follow the depositions.
- 22 Status of the Companion Case
- Attached and incorporated herein as Exhibit 1 is an Order of the Hon. Richard Scotti,
- 24 District Judge, upon the Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines, Amend the Scheduling
- Order, and Reset the Trial in the associated Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada
- 26 case ABC Industrial Laundry, LLC v. Christeyns Laundry Technology, LLC; docketed in that
- 27 Court as Case No. A-15-720810-C. The attached exhibit shows similar deadlines now set by



that Court, as are requested in this Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. 1

#### 1. **DISCOVERY PLAN:**

2

- **DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE**: The plan is in general accordance with LR Α. 3 26-1(e)(1), and the parties request two hundred seventy (270) days to conduct percipient 4 witness discovery measured from January 18, 2018, the date of this Status Report. The last
- proposed day of discovery of percipient witnesses shall be Monday, October 15, 2018. 6
- C. FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2) DISCLOSURES (EXPERTS): Disclosure of experts shall proceed according to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) except that: 8
- i. The disclosure of experts and expert reports shall occur on Tuesday, 9 July 17, 2018, which is ninety (90) days before the discovery cut-off date; 10
- ii. The disclosure of rebuttal experts shall occur on Thursday, August 16, 11 2018, which is thirty (30) days after the disclosure of experts.
- 2. AMENDMENT OF THE PLEADINGS AND ADDING PARTIES: The parties shall have 13 until Tuesday, July 17, 2018, to file any motion to amend the pleadings or to add parties. This 14 is ninety (90) days before the discovery cut-off, which is in accordance with LR 26-1(e)(2). 15
- 3. INTERIM STATUS REPORTS: The parties shall file their interim status report 16 required by LR 26-3 by Thursday, August 16, 2018, which is sixty (60) days before discovery cut-off. 18
- 4. **DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS:** The parties shall have until Wednesday, November 14, 19 2018, to file dispositive motions, which is thirty (30) days after the close of discovery.
- 5. **SETTLEMENT:** The likelihood of settlement cannot be presently established. 21
- 6. PRETRIAL ORDER: The pretrial order shall be filed Friday, December 14, 2018, 22 which is thirty (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions in this case. This deadline is suspended if a dispositive motion is timely filed. 24
- 25
- 26



1	Dated: Thursday, January 18, 203	18.
2	Law Offices of Steven J. Parsons	PYATT SILVESTRI
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	/s/ Steven J. Parsons STEVEN J. PARSONS 10091 Park Run Dr Ste 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145-8868  Attorneys for Plaintiff ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC  HINES HAMPTON, LLP  /s/ Christine Emanuelson CHRISTINE EMANUELSON 400 S 4th Ste 500 Las Vegas, NV 89101  by: WHITNEY WILCHER  Attorneys for Defendant NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE CO.	/s/ Brian Goldman JAMES P.C. SILVESTRI BRIAN GOLDMAN 701 E Bridger Ave Ste 600 Las Vegas, NV 89101-8941 Attorneys for Defendant FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY
13		<u>ORDER</u>
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated:, 2018.	Cantachel
16		
17		U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2.7		



# EXHIBIT "1"

EXHIBIT "1"

# ORIGINAL

Filed 1/17/2018 3:43 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	STED Steven J. Parsons			
2	Nevada Bar No. 363			
3	Law Offices of Steven J. Parsons 10091 Park Run Dr Ste 200			
4	Las Vegas NV 89145-8868 (702) 384-9900			
5	(702) 384-5900 (fax) Steve@SJPlawyer.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and			
7	Counter-defendant ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC			
8	DISTRICT COURT			
9	CLARK COUN	ITY, NEVADA		
10	ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC, a Nevada	Case No.:	A-15-720810-C	
11	limited liability company, dba UNIVERSAL LAUNDRY and SUPPLY,	Dept. No.:	II	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION		
13	VS.	EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES, AMEND THE SCHEDULING ORDER,		
14	CHRISTEYNS LAUNDRY TECHNOLOGY, LLC, a Massachusetts limited liability company,  Defendant.  Defendant.		ORDER .	
15			Request)	
16				
17	AND A RELATED COUNTER-CLAIM.			
18	Plaintiff/Countar defendant APCINDUCT	TDIAL LALINIDDV	LLC dha LINIVEDSAL LAUNDDV	
19	Plaintiff/Counter-defendant, ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC dba UNIVERSAL LAUNDRY			
20	and SUPPLY ("Plaintiff"), by its counsel, Steven J. Parsons of Law Offices of Steven J. Parsons,			
21	and Defendant/Counter-claimant, CHRISTEYNS LAUNDRY TECHNOLOGY, LLC ("Defendant")			
22	by its counsel, William P. Volk of Kolesar & Leatham, hereby submit this Stipulation to Extend			
	Discovery Deadlines, amend the Scheduling Order, and reset the Trial:			
23	A. DISCOVERY WHICH HAS BEEN COMPLI	ETED		
24	1. Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures;			
25	2. Defendant's Initial Disclosures;			
26	3. The parties have supplemented	their Initial Disc	losures when necessary;	
27				



10091 Park Run Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145-8868 (702)384-9900; fax (702)384-5900 <u>Info@S|Plawyer.com</u>

1	4.	Plaintiff's First Interrogatories to Defendant;		
2	5.	Plaintiff's First Requests for Admissions to Defer	ndant;	
3	6.	Plaintiff's First Requests for Production to Defen	dant;	
4	7.	Plaintiff's Second Requests for Production to De	efendant;	
5	8.	Defendant's First Interrogatories to Plaintiff;		
6	9.	Defendant's First Requests for Production of Do	cuments to Plaintiff;	
7	10.	Defendant's Second Interrogatories to Plaintiff;		
8	11.	11. Defendant's Second Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiff;		
9	12.	Deposition of Defendants NRCP 30(b)(6) has co	ommenced	
10 <b>B.</b>	DISCOVERY WHICH REMAINS TO BE CONDUCTED			
11	1.	Complete the deposition of Defendant's NRCP 3	80(b)(6) designees;	
12	2.	Deposition of Plaintiff and its employees/agents	;	
13	3.	Deposition of Defendant's Experts;		
14	4.	Deposition of Plaintiff's Experts;		
15	5.	Additional written discovery by both Plaintiff and	Defendant; and	
16	6.	Written discovery and depositions of various thir	d-parties.	
17 <b>C.</b>	CURRENT DISCOVERY SCHEDULE			
18	The current Scheduling Order provides for the following deadlines:			
19		Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery	02/16/2018	
20		Motion to Amend Pleadings/Add Parties	11/20/2017	
21		Initial Expert Disclosures	03/19/2018	
22		Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	03/30/2018	
23		Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery	04/27/2018	
24		Dispositive Motions Due	05/25/2018	

### 25 **D.** REASONS WHY THE PROPOSED DISCOVERY IS NOT ABLE TO BE COMPLETED PRIOR

### TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE CURRENT DISCOVERY DEADLINE 26

The parties' counsel have worked well and ably with each other to complete discovery 27



in this case. Despite their diligent efforts to complete discovery within the current deadlines, the parties need additional time to completely and properly prepare their cases for trial.

As the Court may be aware, the parties in this matter are working closely with the parties in a parallel case in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada, captioned *ABC Industrial Laundry, LLC, dba Universal Laundry and Supply v. Federal Insurance Company,* et *Al.*, as Case No. 2:15-cv-869-RFB-(VCF) (the "federal court matter") to minimize discovery overlap and costs and to work together to litigate the cases as efficiently as possible. The federal court matter arises from the same set of facts as the instant case, but deals with Plaintiff's first-party insurance coverage claims. Much of the discovery in the two cases overlaps.

Specifically, the parties in both cases need to complete the deposition of Christeyns'
Rule 30(b)(6) designees and other specific Christeyns employees; ABC's Rule 30(b)(6)
designees and other specific ABC employees; Wynn Resort employees; and several technical
experts. The cost of completing this overlapping discovery separately in the two matters would
be extraordinary, and as a result, the parties in both cases have been working together closely
to plan joint deposition schedules.

However, the specifics of ongoing depositions have not yet been agreed to, as coordinating the schedules of nearly a dozen essential people for these depositions has been exceptionally difficult. The participants span the country and have varied and demanding lead-times to commit to the further discovery.<sup>1</sup>

To date, the parties have started taking the deposition of Christeyns' Rule 30(b)(6) designee. This deposition took place over two days in Boston, MA, in mid-August. The parties have agreed to continue Christeyns' 30(b)(6) deposition for one further day at a to-bedetermined date, time, and location. The depositions for Plaintiff's 30(b)(6) designee(s), as

Defendant conducts business from and its lead counsel work and reside in the Boston,
Massachusetts area.



21

23

25

3

5

7

well as the depositions of Plaintiff's principals, are likely in early 2018 in Las Vegas. 1

Additionally, the parties are engaged in extensive third-party discovery. One of these third-parties objected to discovery requests, it has now retained counsel, and the issue may require motions to compel to resolve. Some of these third-parties are not located in Nevada and therefore have required letters rogatory before discovery requests can be served. Many of these third-parties will require depositions, and scheduling those depositions will require the time and coordination of multiple parties. These third-parties include, but are not limited to, former employees of the Plaintiff who were involved in Plaintiff's operations during the time of issue and who no longer reside in Nevada but are important to both Plaintiff's and Defendant's cases. 10

Discovery was also delayed recently due to a number of discovery disputes that, the parties have attempted to resolve amongst themselves. The parties are hopeful they will be able to continue to work through these discovery disputes without having to resort to motion practice.2

The parties believe that an additional one hundred and eighty (180) days will 15 accommodate all interested parties sufficiently to conclude the discovery that remains in this matter. 17

18	E.	PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING DISCOVERY

19	•	Motion to Amend Pleadings/Add Parties	05/18/2018
20	•	Deadline for Fact Discovery	08/10/2018
21	•	Initial Expert Disclosures	09/07/2018
22	•	Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	09/28/2018
23	•	Deadline for Expert Discovery	10/26/2018
24	•	Dispositive Motions Due	11/30/2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> More recently, third-party Wynn Resorts has disputed the production of certain 26 documents from another third-party. Wynn has retained counsel to represent its interests in <sup>27</sup> that discovery dispute.



25

2

3

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

10091 Park Run Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145-8868 (702)384-9900; fax (702)384-5900 Info@SJPlawyer.com

1	The parties anticipate the Court setting the Trial for early 2019.		
2	Based on the foregoing, the parties respectfully request that the Court extend the		
3	discovery deadlines, amend the scheduling Order, and set the trial as recommended above		
4	for the good cause shown.		
5	Dated: January 2, 2018. Dated: January 17, 2018.		
6 7	LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. PARSONS  KOLESAR & LEATHAM  LOW HOUSE AND A CONTROL AND A CON		
8	Steven J. Parsons Nevada Bar No. 363  William P. Volk Nevada Bar No. 6157		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC dba UNIVERSAL LAUNDRY and SUPPLY  Attorneys for Defendant CHRISTEYNS LAUNDRY TECHNOLOGY, LLC		
11	ORDER		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: January 16, 2018.		
14	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15	MIT		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	Date Time 3/10/19 10:00 a.m.		
22	Trial Date:  Pre Trial Conference:  2/27/19 8:45 a.m. 8:45 a.m.		
23	Calendar Call  L/D to File Pretrial Memo:  3/13/19 8:43 a.m. n/a		
24	L/D to File Dispositive Motions: n/a		
25	A new Trial Order will not issue.		
26			
-			

